

LOS ANGELES SUBURBAN RAIL SUMMARY (COMMUTER RAIL, REGIONAL RAIL)

October 2003

Los Angeles is the only urban area in the United States to have developed a regional commuter rail system in decades. The system opened in the early 1990s and now extends to more than 400 miles, with approximately 50 stations on seven routes. The system length is second only to New York in the United States and the 10th most extensive in the world.¹ There are 0.02 stations per square mile of urban land (one for each 48 square miles).. The 56 mile San Bernardino to Los Angeles line is by far the nation's most successful new commuter rail route, carrying more than 10,000 riders daily.²

The Los Angeles commuter rail system serves an area³ of 14 million people, spread over 2,300 square miles at an average population density of 6,100 per square mile. Approximately 6.5 percent of the urban land area is at pre-automobile population densities (above 15,000 per square mile), accounting for 23 percent of the population (3.2 million). However, much of the pre-automobile density in Los Angeles actually represents post-automobile development. Los Angeles is virtually alone among major automotive world urban areas in having experienced a significant increase in density since 1950. The core of Los Angeles in 2000 was 35 percent more dense than in 1950. Almost all of the high density areas of Los Angeles were developed after high levels of automobile ownership were achieved. Thus, despite the pre-automobile densities, there is an automobile era urban form, including wide streets and a comparatively dense freeway system.

Public transport's share of travel is less than 1.5 percent, with commuter rail accounting for 0.2 percent of travel (Figure 19). Average automobile travel speeds are 27.9 miles per hour, more than double the 12.4 miles per hour of public transport.

¹ Among systems for which data is available (*Janes Urban Transport Systems* and Federal Public transport Administration).

² <u>http://www.metrolinktrains.com/</u>

³ Includes Los Angeles, Oxnard, Simi Valley, Santa Clarita, Lancaster, Mission Viejo and San Bernardino-Riverside urbanized areas (areas served by commuter rail system). The core Los Angeles urbanized area has the nation's highest population density, at 7,068 persons per square mile.

The Los Angeles central business district, at 170,000 employees, represents only 2.5 percent of metropolitan employment. From 1960 to 1990, more than 99 percent of new jobs were created outside the downtown area.

Most commuter rail services terminate at Union Station, located on the northern periphery of the downtown area. Los Angeles commuter rail ridership, however, is considerably lower than that of other large commuter rail systems, at 8.1 million annually, or 31,000 daily. This is approximately 0.02 percent of travel in the metropolitan area. The commuter rail operator estimates that 2.9 percent of adjacent freeway traffic is diverted by commuter rail during peak travel hours.⁴



Figure 1

Transfers can be made at Union Station to the subway line or buses. There is, however, no through running of commuter rail trains on the subway.

Commuter rail in Los Angeles is also principally a downtown oriented system. Auto-competitive service is provided to the central business district from throughout the urban area. Approximately 70 percent⁵ of riders terminate at Union Station, which means that there are approximately 100

⁴ <u>http://www.metrolinktrains.com/</u>

⁵ <u>http://www.metrolinktrains.com/</u>

passenger miles per square mile to destinations outside downtown. This compares to daily roadway travel of 105,000 vehicle miles per square mile (168,000 person miles).

APPENDIX TABLES

| International F | Appendix Pre-Automobil | Table A e Commute | er Rail Sys | tems | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Tokyo | Osaka | Nagoya | Paris | London | Sydney |
| DEMOGRAPHICS | | | | | | |
| Population (000) | 31,200 | 15,250 | 8,050 | 9,650 | 12,230 | 3,539 |
| Urban Area (Square Miles) | 2,030 | 1,050 | 1,090 | 1,060 | 1,600 | 811 |
| Population Density | 15,369 | 14,524 | 7,385 | 9,104 | 7,644 | 4,365 |
| Gross Product/Capita 1999 | \$28,327 | \$25,376 | \$28,535 | \$32,343 | \$27,365 | \$25,643 |
| Compared to Tokyo | 0.0% | -10.4% | 0.7% | 14.2% | -3.4% | -9.5% |
| CENTRALIZATION | | | | | | |
| % Population>15,000 Density | 71% | 70% | 24% | 56% | 23% | 1% |
| % Land>15,000 Density | 46% | 43% | 9% | 18% | 8% | 0% |
| Core Population Share | 26% | 17% | 27% | 22% | 59% | 15% |
| Suburban Population Share | 74% | 83% | 73% | 78% | 41% | 85% |
| CBD (Downtown) Employment Share | 16% | 18% | 13% | 17% | 16% | 11% |
| Outside CBD Employment Share | 84% | 82% | 88% | 83% | 84% | 89% |
| Employment in CBD (000) | 2,434 | 1,380 | 500 | 891 | 1,099 | 175 |
| PUBLIC TRANSPORT SYSTEM | | | | | | |
| Public transport Market Share | 56.7% | 59.5% | 24.6% | 24.1% | 17.1% | 13.6% |
| Public transport/Auto Speed | 1.6 | | | 1.5 | | |
| COMMUTER RAIL | | | | | | |
| Commuter Rail Market Share | 39.5% | 36.4% | 12.0% | 7.2% | 3.7% | 5.6% |
| Compared to New York | 59.9 | 53.3 | 18.2 | 11.0 | 5.6 | 8.5 |
| Miles of Route | 1,779 | 1,095 | 528 | 1,012 | 2,260 | 1,273 |
| Stations | 1,243 | 1,065 | 843 | 540 | 940 | 306 |
| Station Density | 0.61 | 1.01 | 0.77 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 0.38 |
| Operating Subsidy? | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Capital Subsidy | No | No | No | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Share with Freight? | No | No | No | Little | Little | Little |

HIGHWAYS

| Traffic Density (Vehicle Miles/Sq.Mi.) | 118,854 | 83,462 |
|--|---------|--------|
| Compared to Tokyo | 0.0% | -29.8% |

EXTENT OF AUTO COMPETITIVE PUBLIC TRANSPORT SERVICE Within Core HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH

| nion | TION | nion | TION | TION | TION |
|------|--------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| HIGH | HIGH | HIGH | MIDDLE | MIDDLE | MIDDLE |
| HIGH | HIGH | HIGH | LOW | NIL | NIL |
| | HIGH HIGH | HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH | HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH | HIGH HIGH HIGH MIDDLE HIGH HIGH HIGH LOW | HIGH HIGH HIGH MIDDLE MIDDLE HIGH HIGH HIGH LOW NIL |

| Appe United States Pre-Auto | endix Table E mobile Comi | 3 muter Rail \$ | Systems | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------|
| | New York | Chicago | Boston | Philadelphia |
| DEMOGRAPHICS | | | | |
| Population (000) | 20,253 | 8,307 | 4,032 | 5,149 |
| Urban Area (Square Miles) | 4,711 | 2,123 | 1,736 | 1,799 |
| Population Density | 4,299 | 3,913 | 2,323 | 2,862 |
| Gross Product/Capita 1999 | \$43,805 | \$39,384 | \$40,301 | \$36,025 |
| Compared to Tokyo | 54.6% | 39.0% | 42.3% | 27.2% |
| CENTRALIZATION | | | | |
| % Population>15,000 Density | 44% | 24% | 20% | 22% |
| % Land>15,000 Density | 5% | 4% | 2% | 3% |
| Core Population Share | 40% | 35% | 15% | 29% |
| Suburban Population Share | 60% | 65% | 85% | 71% |
| CBD (Downtown) Employment Share | 19% | 13% | 13% | 14% |
| Outside CBD Employment Share | 81% | 87% | 87% | 86% |
| Employment in CBD (000) | 1,733 | 485 | 280 | 351 |
| PUBLIC TRANSPORT SYSTEM | | | | |
| Public transport Market Share | 9.0% | 3.6% | 3.8% | 2.9% |
| Public transport/Auto Speed | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | |
| COMMUTER RAIL | | | | |
| Commuter Rail Market Share | 0.7% | 0.5% | 0.4% | 0.3% |
| Compared to New York | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Miles of Route | 979 | 333 | 328 | 304 |
| Stations | 404 | 250 | 116 | 176 |
| Station Density | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.10 |
| Operating Subsidy? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

| Capital Subsidy | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Share with Freight? | Little | Little | Little | Little |
| | | | | |
| HIGHWAYS | | | | |
| Traffic Density (Vehicle Miles/Sq.Mi.) | 63,312 | 57,968 | 43,350 | 57,168 |
| Compared to Tokyo | -46.7% | -51.2% | -63.5% | -51.9% |

EXTENT OF AUTO COMPETITIVE PUBLIC TRANSPORT SERVICE

| Within Core | HIGH | HIGH | HIGH | HIGH |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Suburbs to Core | MIDDLE | MIDDLE | MIDDLE | MIDDLE |
| Within Suburbs | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |

| | A | opendix Ta | ble C | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------------|----------|
| United Stat | tes Automobile | Era Comm | nuter Rail Sys | tems and Lir | nes | |
| | Washington- Baltimore | Los Angeles | San Diego | Miami | Dallas-Fort Worth | Seattle |
| DEMOGRAPHICS | | | | | | |
| Population (000) | 6,010 | 14,000 | 2,674 | 4,919 | 4,146 | 2,712 |
| Urban Area (Square Miles) | 1,840 | 2,299 | 782 | 1,116 | 1,407 | 954 |
| Population Density | 3,266 | 6,090 | 3,419 | 4,408 | 2,947 | 2,843 |
| Gross Product/Capita 1999 | \$41,316 | \$33,486 | \$34,495 | \$31,261 | \$40,306 | \$38,928 |
| Compared to Tokyo | 45.9% | 18.2% | 21.8% | 10.4% | 42.3% | 37.4% |
| CENTRALIZATION | | | | | | |
| % Population>15,000 Density | 10% | 23% | 3% | 7% | 2% | 2% |
| % Land>15,000 Density | 1% | 6% | 2% | 2% | 0% | 0% |
| Core Population Share | 20% | 26% | 46% | 7% | 29% | 21% |
| Suburban Population Share | 80% | 74% | 54% | 93% | 71% | 79% |
| CBD (Downtown) Employment Share | 19% | 2% | 6% | 2% | 6% | 12% |
| Outside CBD Employment Share | 81% | 98% | 94% | 98% | 94% | 88% |
| Employment in CBD (000) | 444 | 167 | 73 | 41 | 112 | 171 |
| PUBLIC TRANSPORT SYSTEM | | | | | | |
| Public transport Market Share | 3.3% | 1.4% | 1.5% | 1.3% | 0.5% | 1.8% |
| Public transport/Auto Speed | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | | | |

COMMUTER RAIL

| Commuter Rail Market Share | 0.05% | 0.02% | 0.02% | 0.03% | 0.01% | 0.01% |
|---|-----------|----------|--------|---------|--------|--------|
| Compared to New York | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Miles of Route | 191 | 415 | 43 | 71 | 35 | 34 |
| Stations | 56 | 48 | 9 | 19 | 9 | 7 |
| Station Density | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Operating Subsidy? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Capital Subsidy | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 0% |
| Share with Freight? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| HIGHWAYS | | | | | | |
| Traffic Density (Vehicle Miles/Sq.Mi.) | 74,798 | 104,970 | 85,687 | 109,613 | 68,077 | 60,936 |
| Compared to Tokyo | -37.1% | -11.7% | -27.9% | -7.8% | -42.7% | -48.7% |
| EXTENT OF AUTO COMPETITI SERVICE | VE PUBLIC | FRANSPOR | т | | | |
| Within Core | HIGH | HIGH | HIGH | HIGH | HIGH | HIGH |
| Suburbs to Core | MIDDLE | MIDDLE | MIDDLE | MIDDLE | MIDDLE | MIDDLE |
| Within Suburbs | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |

Note: Washington-Baltimore CBD data is for Washington and Baltimore.

| Г | The Public Purpose WENDELL COX CONSULTANCY Demographia |
|---|--|
| | P. O. Box 841 - Belleville, IL 62269 USA |
| | Telephone: +1.618.632.8507 - Facsi mile: +1.810.821.8134 |
| Г | To facilitate the ideal of government as the servant of the people by identifying and implementing strategies to |
| | achieve public purposes at a cost that is no higher than necessary. |
| _ | (A) 2020 www.ex.blice.com.com.com.com.com.com.com.com.com.com |

(c) 2003 www.publicpurpose.com --- Wendell Cox Consultancy --- Permission granted to use with attribution.